		,		
1	1	FILED 009 JAN -9 AM 8: 26		
2	2	202 JAN -9 AM 8: 26		
3	$3 \parallel$	The distinction of the confidence		
4	4	UTHERN DISTRICT OF THE PUTY		
5	5	1Y		
6	6			
7	7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10		08 CV 1281 W (AJB)		
11	corporation,) ORDER	GRANTING JOINT MOTION FOR		
12	12 vs.) ENTRY) AND FIN	OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION NAL JUDGMENT		
13	BARRY HOOD dba INTELLELECTRIC,			
14	Defendant.			
15	15			
16	The Court, having considered the Joint Motion for Entry of Permanent Injunction and Final			
1 7	Judgment, hereby GRANTS the Joint Motion and it is HEREBY ORDERD as follows:			
18	(1) Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116, Intellelectric and i	ts officers, agents, servants, employees,		
19				
20	20			
21				
22	(a) using the name or mark "Intellelectric," ar	nd any trade name, trademark, service		
23	mark, slogan, title, Internet domain name,	and/or other designation that		
24	24 incorporates the INTEL mark, or is confus	singly similar to or a colorable imitation		
25	•			
26	11			
27	27			
28	28			
	Order Granting Joint Motion for Entry of Permanent Injunction and Final Judgment	Case No. 08 CV 1281 W (AJB)		

1	(b) using the INTEL mark in any manner likely to cause others to believe that any		
2	product or service that Intellelectric advertises, displays or offers is connected or		
3		associated with or approved, sponsored or endorsed by Intel;	
4		(c) using the INTEL mark in any manner that will tarnish, blur, or dilute the	
5		distinctive quality of the famous INTEL mark; and	
6		(d) committing any other acts calculated to cause purchasers to believe that	
7		Intellelectric's products or services are Intel's INTEL-branded products or	
8		services or are connected or associated with or approved, sponsored or endorsed	
9		by Intel.	
10	(2)	Intellelectric shall take all steps necessary to cancel or remove the name Intellelectric	
11	from the business records of the County of San Diego and otherwise take all steps necessary to change		
12	Intellelectric's business name.		
13	(2)	A Final Judgment on the merits regarding the above captioned matter between Plaintiff	
14	Intel Corporation and Defendant Barry Hood dba Intellelectric is hereby entered in Plaintiff's favor.		
15	(3)	The above captioned matter is dismissed, and no further action will be taken or will be	
16	necessary. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Court shall have continuing jurisdiction to enforce the		
17			
18	8		
19	(4)	Each party shall bear its own costs and attorneys fees in connection with this action.	
20			
21			
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
23			
24	Dated: January 6, 2009		
25		United States District Court Judge	
26			
27			

28